

the part of the appropriations committee. This will have elapsed the programs were first presented and they have gone through the House and the Senate, and have changed in that time. But programs have to be rechecked and things actually approved. I realize that it is not a desirable thing to be rechecked to reprogram a bill of that kind in detail within a few days of that time. The expense certainly should not be put on the major program. I want the Congress to be advised as to the changes that have been made. As additional details are worked out, I would expect them to be passed along to the Congress, so the Congress will always be kept currently and fully advised about the program.

Generally speaking I would say, if I read the statement made by the distinguished Senator from Massachusetts his understanding of the purpose of the amendment is correct.

Mr. SALTONSTALL. I thank the Senator, Mr. President. I should like to ask the chairman of the Appropriations Committee a question with relation to section 111 of the bill, and if he agrees I have a statement to make to the Senator from Virginia (Mr. ROBERTSON) who is interested in that section. The reason for my question is that I would like to make a motion to strike out section 111.

Section 111 relates to an employee who has left employment with International Cooperation Administration within 2 years from the date of employment with said person, organization, company or concern or any of its affiliates.

I have talked with the Representative from Louisiana (Mr. PARSONS), who introduced this bill and he has agreed that the amendment goes much further than he believes it should go.

Under the language as it is included in this bill, an elevator boy, for example, who had been working for the ICA would be prevented from accepting employment elsewhere in the amendment.

If the Senator from Arizona (Mr. HAYDEN) and the Senator from Virginia (Mr. ROBERTSON) agree, I should like to offer an amendment, and if the amendment is accepted, to strike out this language in section 111 and go to conference and we can revise the section in conference in a way which will be agreeable to the House and to the Senate.

Mr. BYRD of Virginia. I think it is highly desirable that the entire paragraph go to conference.

Mr. ROBERTSON. Mr. President, the attention of the junior Senator from Virginia has been directed to the very restrictive provisions in the House bill which prohibited employment for a period of 2 years of anyone who had previously been employed by ICA and he, at the suggestion of the Director of the ICA, thought he would ease that restriction a bit by rewording of the language so that it would not apply to non-profit organizations. Now he under-

stands in a way the amendment makes the original one more difficult to remove.

Since the chairman of the House subcommittee, who will handle this section in conference would prefer that we remove the House language completely and then to send it to a conference, the Senator from Virginia has no objection to the request of the Senator from Massachusetts to eliminate this section. Mr. SALTONSTALL. I move to strike out section 111.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, the Senator yields?

Mr. KEATING. I yield.

Mr. CLARK. I would have no objection to my concurrence in the views of the Senator from Massachusetts that this section is entirely too broad. It would be quite unworkable, and would be unfair to corporations dealing with the Government.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. President, the distinguished chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee assured me he would move to strike out section 111, and so I am glad the Senator from Massachusetts has made that motion.

Mr. SALTONSTALL. I should be glad to have the majority leader make the motion.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. I originally wanted the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. SALTONSTALL) to know I was supported in his position by the Committee on Foreign Relations. He had intended to make that motion himself.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on the motion of the Senator from Massachusetts to strike out section 111.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. MUSKIE. Mr. President, I call up my amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The amendment submitted by the Senator from Maine will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. On page 19, after line 12, it is proposed to insert:

ADVISORY COMMISSION ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

For expenses necessary for the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations \$100,000: Provided, That this appropriation shall be effective only upon the enactment into law of H.R. 6904.

Mr. HAYDEN. Mr. President, I have conferred with the members of the committee, and we accept the amendment.

Mr. MUSKIE. I thank the Senator from Arizona.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendment of the Senator from Maine.

The amendment was agreed to.

ADVISORY COMMISSION ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS—CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. MUSKIE. Mr. President, I submit a report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 6904) to establish an Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The report will be read, for the information of the Senate.

The legislative clerk read the report. (For conference report, see House proceedings of today.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the report?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the report.

Mr. MUSKIE. Mr. President, the report is unanimous; it is signed by all the managers on the part of both the House and the Senate.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Record, the statement contained in the report of the managers on the part of the House explaining the conference report.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(For statement by the managers on the part of the House, explaining the conference report, see House proceedings of today.)

Mr. KEATING. Mr. President, will the Senator from Maine yield?

Mr. MUSKIE. I am happy to yield.

Mr. KEATING. Does the conference report call for a substantial change?

Mr. MUSKIE. The only change is in the county representation. The conference report calls for a decrease from four to three in the county representation provided in the Senate version of the bill, and the conference report calls for an increase from two to three in the county representation called for by the House version of the bill. In other words, the conference report provides for a compromise at three.

Mr. KEATING. I thank the Senator from Maine.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the report.

The report was agreed to.

Mr. MUSKIE. Mr. President, I move that the vote by which the report was agreed to be reconsidered.

Mr. JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. President, I move to lay on the table the motion to reconsider.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

PREMIER KHRUSHCHEV'S VISIT

Mr. RANDOLPH. Mr. President, yesterday I mailed a letter to Premier Nikita Khrushchev. My message was one of, perhaps, hundreds which will be sent to Mr. Khrushchev following an invitation published in Parade magazine on last Sunday. Parade editors suggested that it would be appropriate for citizens of the United States to write to Mr. Khrushchev. Parade further indicated that the communications would be delivered to the Soviet spokesman at the Russian Embassy in Washington, D.C., shortly after his arrival in the National Capital.

Mr. President, I was so moved by the appealing article in the form of a letter to Mr. Khrushchev in Parade that I was impelled, because of the request and the manner in which it was couched, to comply with the proposal that men and women set down the thoughts they would voice to the Communist leader if